arXiv:math/0509319v1 [math.AG] 14 Sep 2005

The Borel-Serre Compactification for the Classifying Space of Hodge Structures

John Scherk

Abstract

A 1-parameter variation of Hodge structures corresponds to a holomorphic, horizontal, locally liftable map into a classifying space of Hodge structures. In this paper it is shown that such a map has a limit in the reductive Borel-Serre compactification of the classifying space. The boundary component in which the limit lies is a union over possible polarizations of classifying spaces of Hodge structures on the primitive parts. It is discussed which boundary components can contain such limit points.

1. Introduction

It is an interesting problem to construct and study compactifications of the classifying spaces of Hodge structures analogous to those known for hermitian locally symmetric spaces. Griffiths ([Grf70], §9) posed this question in 1970 for the Bailey-Borel compactification. One hopes that such compactifications will enable one to better understand the degeneration of Hodge structures. The first results were obtained by Cattani and Kaplan for Hodge structures of weight 2 in [CK77] (see also [U95]). Usui and Kato outlined results on Mumford compactifications in [KU00] . A relatively simple compactification for hermitian locally symmetric spaces is the reductive Borel-Serre compactification. In [BJ01] Borel and Ji showed how to extend the construction to more general locally homogeneous spaces, in particular to the classifying spaces of Hodge structures (see also [KU02]).

Suppose that $\Gamma\backslash D$ is such a classifying space, and that $\Gamma\backslash\bar{D}^{RBS}$ is its reductive Borel-Serre compactification. Let $T \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disc, $T^* = T \setminus \{0\}$, and

$$\Phi : T^* \to \Gamma\backslash D$$

a holomorphic, horizontal, locally liftable map, i.e. Φ corresponds to a 1-parameter variation of Hodge structures. Our first result is to show that Φ extends continuously to

$$\hat{\Phi} : T \to \Gamma\backslash\bar{D}^{RBS} \ .$$

Now the monodromy of Φ defines the weight filtration W. Let Q be the (rational) parabolic group of automorphisms of D preserving W. Associated to Q is a boundary component $(\Gamma \cap Q)\backslash D_Q$ of $\Gamma\backslash\bar{D}^{RBS}$. The limit $\hat{\Phi}(0)$ lies in it. And we describe D_Q in terms of Q and of the limit Hodge filtration. Lastly, the tangent bundle of D has a distinguished subbundle, the horizontal subbundle. Since Φ' takes its values in this subbundle one can ask which boundary components of $\Gamma\backslash\bar{D}^{RBS}$ a map $\hat{\Phi}$ can meet.

Let G denote the automorphism group of D, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ its Lie algebra. In §2 basic definitions and notation are reviewed. In §3 we introduce a grading on the roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In §4 we use this to show that there exists a maximal set of strongly orthogonal roots. We can then construct a maximally non-compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and the corresponding Cayley transform. In §5 we recall basic results about parabolic subalgebras and representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and relate the Cayley transform of a representation to that of §4. In §6 we discuss the construction of the reductive Borel-Serre partial compactification $\bar{D}^{RBS}$. We can then prove in §7 that a 1-parameter variation Φ of Hodge structures extends in $\bar{D}^{RBS}$. We discuss the type of boundary components it can meet. Lastly, in §8 we show that the boundary component which $\hat{\Phi}$ meets, fibres over a space of polarizations. The fibres are spaces of polarized Hodge structures on the primitive parts of Gr^W.

2. Basic Definitions

Let H be a finite dimensional complex vector space, with a real form $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a lattice $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset H_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let m be a positive integer. Let S be a non-degenerate bilinear form on H, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is symmetric if m is even, and skew-symmetric if m is odd. And let $\mathbf{G}$ be the group of symmetries $\mathbf{O}(S)$ of S. So $\mathbf{G}$ is a semi-simple linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For $0 \leqslant p \leqslant m$, let $h^{p,m-p}$ be non-negative integers such that

$$h^{m-p,p} = h^{p,m-p} \ , \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H = \sum_{p=0}^{m} h^{p,m-p} \ .$$

Then let $\check{D}$ be the set of filtrations

$$H = F^0 \supset \cdots \supset F^m$$

of H satisfying

$$\dim F^p = \sum_{q \geqslant p} h^{q,m-q} \ ,$$

and the condition:

$$S(F^p, F^{m-p+1}) = 0 \ , \quad 0 \leqslant p \leqslant m \ . \tag{1}$$

Thus $\check{D}$ is a complex subvariety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ of a product of Grassmannians. The group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on $\check{D}$. Therefore $\check{D}$ is a smooth projective variety.

Define the Weil operator

$$C : H \to H$$

by

$$Cv = i^{p-q} v \ , \quad v \in H^{p,q} := F^p \cap \bar{F}^q \ .$$

Let D be the open submanifold of $\check{D}$ consisting of filtrations which satisfy

$$S(Cv, \bar{v}) > 0 \ , \quad v \neq 0 \ . \tag{2}$$

The space D is called a classifying space for polarized Hodge structures of weight m on H. The group of real points of $\mathbf{G}$,

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C}) \,|\, g(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset H_{\mathbb{R}} \} \ ,$$

preserves condition (2) and thus is a group of automorphisms of D. It acts transitively on D. Choose a base-point $x_0 \in D$, corresponding to a Hodge filtration $\{F_0^p\}$ or $\{H_0^{p,q}\}$. Then let $B \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the isotropy group of x_0, so that

$$\check{D} \cong \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})/B \ ,$$

and B is parabolic. Furthermore,

$$V := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B$$

is compact ([Sch73] 3.7) and

$$D \cong \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/V \ .$$

Lastly, the arithmetic subgroup

$$\Gamma = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C}) \,|\, g(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset H_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$$

acts properly discontinuously on D ([Sch73], 3.8).

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$, and let

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \,|\, XH_{\mathbb{R}} \subset H_{\mathbb{R}}\} \ .$$

Thus $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and is the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$. We have an induced Hodge structure of weight 0 on $\mathrm{Hom}(H, H)$ and on its subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$: letting

$$\mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \,|\, XH_0^{p,q} \subset H_0^{p+r,q-r}\} \ ,$$

we have

$$\overline{\mathfrak{g}^{r,-r}} = \mathfrak{g}^{-r,r}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_r \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ .$$

Furthermore,

$$[\mathfrak{g}^{r,-r}, \mathfrak{g}^{s,-s}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{r+s,-r-s} \ .$$

Let $B(,)$ be the form

$$B(X, Y) = \mathrm{tr}\,\mathrm{XY} \ , \quad \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \ ,$$

on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. According to [Sch73], 8.8, $-B(,)$ polarizes this Hodge structure. The Weil operator

$$\theta : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is given by

$$\theta(X) = (-1)^r X \ , \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ .$$

Thus

$$(X, Y) := -B(\theta X, \bar{Y})$$

defines a Hermitian inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ (loc. sit. 8.9). In fact, with the above grading $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ carries a Hodge structure of weight 0 polarized by this inner product. If we pick another point $x_1 \in D$, and any $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $gx_0 = x_1$, then $\mathrm{Ad}g$ is a morphism of Hodge structures.

The Lie algebras of B and V are

$$\mathfrak{b} = \oplus_{r \geqslant 0}\, \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ , \quad \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$$

(cf. [Sch73], 3.13, 3.14). Let

$$\mathfrak{k} = \oplus_{r\,\mathrm{even}}\, \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ , \quad \mathfrak{p} = \oplus_{r\,\mathrm{odd}}\, \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ , \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \ , \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{4}$$

is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (loc. sit. §8).

Proposition 1. $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathfrak{v} = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. The parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ are opposite and

$$\mathfrak{b} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,0} \ .$$

So it follows that

$$\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}^{0,0} = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \ .$$

But $\mathfrak{v}$ is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$. Thus

$$\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{v} = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}^{0,0} \ .$$

For a direct calculation, see [U95], 1.7. □

It will be useful to write out more explicitly the Hodge structure of weight 1 with $h^{1,0} = h^{0,1} = 1$, polarized by a nondegenerate skew-symmetric form S. In this case $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. If we pick $v \in H^{1,0}$, with $iS(v,\bar{v}) = 2$, then there are elements $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_+, \mathbf{x}_-$ of degree $(0,0), (-1,1), (1,-1)$ respectively, which span $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_+ v &= -i\bar{v} \\ \mathbf{x}_- \bar{v} &= iv \\ \mathbf{z} &= [\mathbf{x}_+, \mathbf{x}_-] \ . \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the real basis $\{\, (v+\bar{v})/2,\ i(v-\bar{v})/2 \,\}$, they have the matrices

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \mathbf{x}_+ = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -i & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \mathbf{x}_- = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The real form $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is spanned by

$$\mathbf{y} = i(\mathbf{x}_- - \mathbf{x}_+) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \mathbf{n}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \mathbf{n}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

Lastly, we have the Cayley element

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \ .$$

3. Weights and Roots

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{v}$ and set

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} + i\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g} \ .$$

With respect to the inner product above on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ and $i\mathfrak{t}$ are skew-hermitian and therefore semi-simple. So it follows from proposition 1 that $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_0^{p,m-p}) \subset H_0^{p,m-p}$$

and

$$[\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ .$$

Therefore $H^{p,m-p}$ decomposes into an orthogonal sum of weight spaces. For any weight ϵ, $\dim H^{\epsilon} = 1$. If

$$H^{\epsilon} \subset H_0^{p,m-p}$$

then

$$H^{-\epsilon} = H^{\bar{\epsilon}} = \bar{H}^{\epsilon} \subset H_0^{m-p,p} \ .$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{g}^{r,-r}$ decomposes into an orthogonal sum of root spaces, and if

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} = \mathfrak{g}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-r,r} \ .$$

DEFINITION 1. A weight ϵ (with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$) of the canonical representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on H is of degree $(p, m-p)$ if

$$H^{\epsilon} \subset H_0^{p,m-p} \ .$$

A root α (with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$) is of degree r if

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{r,-r} \ .$$

Let $\Phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the set of weights with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ the set of roots, and Δ^r the roots of degree r. From (3), the roots of even degree are the compact roots, and those of odd degree, the non-compact ones. Note that if α is of degree r and β of degree s then if $\alpha+\beta$ is a root, its degree is $r+s$.

Given weights ϵ_1 and ϵ_2, $-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2 \neq 0$, of degrees $(p_1, m-p_1)$, respectively $(p_2, m-p_2)$, with $p_1 > p_2$, $p_1 \geqslant m/2$, pick

$$v_j \in H^{\epsilon_j} \ , \ j = 1, 2$$

with

$$i^{2p_j - m} S(v_j, \bar{v}_j) = 2 \ .$$

Let

$$\alpha = -\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$$

and define X_α by

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha v_1 &= (-1)^{p_2 - p_1 + 1} i v_2 \\ X_\alpha \bar{v}_2 &= i \bar{v}_1 \\ X_\alpha H^{\epsilon} &= 0 \quad \text{for } \epsilon \neq \epsilon_1, -\epsilon_2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

If m is odd, let

$$\alpha = -2\epsilon_1$$

and define X_α by

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha v_1 &= -i \bar{v}_1 \\ X_\alpha H^{\epsilon} &= 0 \quad \text{for } \epsilon \neq \epsilon_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2. *$X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and α is a root with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The degree of α is $p_2 - p_1$, respectively $m - 2p_1$.*

Proof. Check that X_α is skew-symmetric with respect to S, and that it is a root vector for α with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Remark 1. i) In particular, if $m = 2n$ is even, then S is symmetric and positive definite on $H^{n,n}$. So 0 is a weight on $H^{n,n}$ if and only if $h^{n,n}$ is odd, which holds if and only if $\dim H$ is odd. In this case $-\epsilon_1$ is a root of degree $n - p_1$.

ii) If m is even, then $\alpha = -2\epsilon_1$ is not a root because X_α is not an infinitesimal isometry.

THEOREM 1. *Every root $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ in the form*

$$\alpha = -\delta + \epsilon \ ,$$

for some weights $\delta, \epsilon \in \Phi_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Let α be a root of degree $-r$, and $X \neq 0$ a root vector for α. There exists a weight δ, of degree $(p, m-p)$ say, such that $X(H^\delta) \neq 0$. Pick $v \in H^\delta$, $v \neq 0$. So $i^{2p-m} S(v, \bar{v}) > 0$. Then

$$Xv \in H^{\delta+\alpha} \subset H^{p-r, m-p+r} \ .$$

So

$$0 < i^{2(p-r)-m} S(Xv, \bar{X}\bar{v}) = (-1)^{r+1} i^{2p-m} S(v, X\bar{X}\bar{v}) \ .$$

It follows that if we set $w = Xv$, then

$$X\bar{w} = X\bar{X}\bar{v} = \lambda\bar{v} \ ,$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, where $(-1)^{r+1}\lambda > 0$.

Now let $\epsilon = \delta + \alpha \in \Phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ and set $\beta = -\delta + \epsilon \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$. We can assume, by scaling X if necessary, that $w = X_\beta v$. Compute $\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathrm{X\bar{X}_\beta})$:

$$X\bar{X}_\beta\bar{v} = X\bar{w} = \lambda\bar{v} \ , \quad X\bar{X}_\beta w = (-1)^{r+1} w \ .$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathrm{X\bar{X}_\beta}) = (-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} + \lambda \ ,$$

and $(X, X_\beta) > 0$. But if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \perp \mathfrak{g}^\beta$. It follows that $\alpha = \beta$. ☐

Suppose now that we have two roots α and β such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root. If we write them both in this form, the theorem implies that in the sum, two of the weights must cancel:

Corollary 1. i) *Suppose* $\alpha = -\delta_1 + \delta_2$ *and* $\beta = -\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ *are roots, where* $\delta_1 \neq -\delta_2$, $\epsilon_1 \neq -\epsilon_2$ *. If* $\alpha + \beta$ *is a root, then either* $\delta_1 = -\epsilon_1$, $\delta_1 = \epsilon_2$, $\delta_2 = \epsilon_1$, *or* $\delta_2 = -\epsilon_2$.

ii) *Suppose* $\alpha = -\delta_1 + \delta_2$ *and* $\beta = -2\epsilon_1$ *are roots, where* $\delta_1 \neq -\delta_2$. *If* $\alpha + \beta$ *is a root then* $\epsilon_1 = -\delta_1$ *or* $\epsilon_1 = \delta_2$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha + \beta$ is a root. Compute $[X_\alpha, X_\beta]v$ for $v \in H^\delta$, $\delta \in \Phi_{\mathbb{C}}$. ☐

For any α, set

$$X_{-\alpha} = \bar{X}_\alpha \ . \quad Z_\alpha = [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] \ .$$

Then

$$\alpha(Z_\alpha) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{p_2 - p_1 + 1}\, 2 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = -\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \\ 2 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = -2\epsilon_1 \ . \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

So if $\deg\alpha$ is odd, defining

$$\varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{z}) = Z_\alpha \ , \quad \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_+) = X_\alpha \ , \quad \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_-) = X_{-\alpha} \ , \tag{6}$$

gives an embedding

$$\varphi_\alpha : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

which is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Let ψ_α be the representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ whose derivative is φ_α. Set

$$Y_\alpha = i(X_{-\alpha} - X_\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{y}) \ , \quad N_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{n}_+) \ , \quad C_\alpha = \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{c}) \ .$$

So $Y_\alpha, N_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $C_\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$.

4. A Real Cartan Subalgebra

We can now construct a maximal set of strongly orthogonal roots. This in turn gives a maximal split abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Suppose $\alpha = -\delta_1 + \delta_2$ is a root. Let

$$H^\alpha = \begin{cases} H^{\delta_1} \oplus H^{-\delta_1} \oplus H^{\delta_2} \oplus H^{-\delta_2} & \text{if } \delta_2 \neq -\delta_1 \\ H^{\delta_1} \oplus H^{-\delta_1} & \text{if } \delta_2 = -\delta_1 \end{cases}$$

and let

$$H^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} = H^\alpha \cap H_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

Set

$$s = \operatorname{rk} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

We can compute s as follows. First consider the case where $m = 2n-1$ is odd. Then

$$H_{\mathbb{R}} = \oplus_\epsilon H_{\mathbb{R}}^{-2\epsilon} \ ,$$

where the sum is over weights ϵ of degree $(p, m-p)$, $p > m-p$. Pick $v = v_\epsilon \in H^\epsilon$ with $S(v, \bar{v}) = i^{m-2p}$. Then

$$H_{\mathbb{R}}^{-2\epsilon} = \langle v + \bar{v}, i(v - \bar{v}) \rangle \ ,$$

and

$$S\big(v + \bar{v}, i(v - \bar{v})\big) = 2(-1)^{n-p+1} \ .$$

Therefore

$$s = \sum_{p > m-p} h^{p, m-p} \ .$$

Now suppose that $m = 2n$ is even. On $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ the bilinear form can be written as a sum of s hyperbolic planes plus a definite form. To compute s, suppose ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are weights of degree $(p_1, m-p_1)$, respectively $(p_2, m-p_2)$, with $p_1, p_2 \geqslant n$ and $p_1 - p_2$ odd. Let $\alpha = -\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. Then $H^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the sum of two hyperbolic planes. Therefore

$$s = \min\{a, b\}$$

where

$$a = \sum_{|j| \leqslant [n/2]} h^{n+2j, n-2j} \ , \quad b = \dim H - a \ .$$

Lemma 1. *Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$, with $H^\alpha \cap H^\beta = \{0\}$. Then α and β are strongly orthogonal.*

Proof. If $H^\alpha \cap H^\beta = \{0\}$ then by corollary 1, $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha - \beta$ are not roots. ☐

It is now clear how to construct a set of s strongly orthogonal non-compact roots. First, suppose that m is odd. Set

$$\Sigma = \{-2\epsilon \mid \epsilon \in \Phi_{\mathbb{C}}, \deg \epsilon = (p, m-p), \ p > m-p\} \ . \tag{7}$$

Then the lemma implies that the roots in Σ are strongly orthogonal. And from the calculation above, $|\Sigma| = s$.

Second, suppose that $m = 2n$ is even. Pick s disjoint pairs of weights (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) of degree $(p_1, m-p_1)$, respectively $(p_2, m-p_2)$, with $p_1, p_2 \geqslant n$ and $p_1 - p_2$ odd. Let

$$\Sigma = \{-\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}\} \ . \tag{8}$$

Since $-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ and $-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ are strongly orthogonal, the lemma again implies that all the roots in Σ are strongly orthogonal. And $|\Sigma| = s$. In both cases the roots chosen are of odd degree and therefore non-compact.

Label the roots in Σ: $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$. Then for any j, k, it follows that

$$[Y_{\gamma_j}, Y_{\gamma_k}] = 0 \ .$$

To sum up,

THEOREM 2. *The set* $\Sigma = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s\}$ *is a maximal set of strongly orthogonal roots. The subalgebra*

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{R} Y_{\gamma_j} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is a maximal split abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real Cartan subalgebra. Let

$$\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathbb{R} Z_\alpha \ \subset i\mathfrak{t} \ .$$

We have a restriction map

$$\text{res} \ : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(i\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R}) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \ .$$

Let $\Delta'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{res}\, \Delta_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$. Set

$$C = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} C_\alpha \ .$$

Then

$$Ad(C) Y_\alpha = Z_\alpha \ , \quad Ad(C) N_\alpha = X_\alpha \ ,$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma$. In particular, the Cayley transform $Ad(C)$ gives an isomorphism

$$Ad(C) : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathfrak{a}'_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

If we denote by $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ the roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then

$$Ad(C)^* : \Delta'_{\mathbb{R}} \to \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

is a bijection. Let $\text{res}_{\,\mathbb{R}}$ denote the composition

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{res} \Delta'_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}\,(\text{C})^*} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} \ .$$

One can choose the basepoint x_0 so that there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ - Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} \ . \tag{9}$$

More generally, suppose that $k \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a subfield and that $\mathfrak{a}_k \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra over k. Let Φ_k denote the weights of H with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_k$, and Δ_k the roots. Then setting

$$\text{res}_{\,\text{k}} = \text{res}_{\,\mathbb{R}/\text{k}} \circ \text{res}_{\,\mathbb{R}} \ ,$$

we have restriction maps

$$\text{res}_{\,\text{k}} : \Phi_{\mathbb{C}} \to \Phi_{\text{k}} \ , \quad \text{res}_{\,\text{k}} : \Delta_{\mathbb{C}} \to \Delta_{\text{k}} \cup \{0\} \ .$$

The two maps are surjective. This implies that any root $\alpha \in \Delta_k$ can be written in the form $\alpha = -\delta_1 + \delta_2$, for some weights $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Phi_k$. For any l, we set

$$\Delta_k^l = \text{res}_{\,\text{k}} \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{l}} \ .$$

For m odd, $\text{rk}\, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{rk}\, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. If m is even then over $\mathbb{Q}$, S can be written as a sum of r hyperbolic planes plus a form S_0 which does not represent 0, where $r = \text{rk}\, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The short roots in $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ have multiplicity $\dim H - 2r$. All the other roots have multiplicity 1 (see [B66], 6.6, example 3).

5. Parabolic Subalgebras and Three-Dimensional Subalgebras

Let φ be a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Set

$$Y = \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \ , \quad N = \varphi(\mathbf{n}_-) \ .$$

Pick a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_Q$. Let $\mathfrak{g}(j)$ denote the eigenspace of Y with eigenvalue $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As is well-known, the associated filtration W of $\mathfrak{g}$, given by

$$W_k\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{j \leqslant k}\mathfrak{g}(j)$$

is determined by N. We can define a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ by

$$\mathfrak{q} = W_0\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{j \leqslant 0}\mathfrak{g}(j)$$

(see [SS70], 4.15, [Hum95], 7.10), sometimes called the *canonical* parabolic subalgebra of φ or N. We also refer to the corresponding subgroup Q as the *canonical* parabolic subgroup associated with N.

Alternatively, we can describe $\mathfrak{q}$ in the following way. One can order $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ so that

$$\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \ , \quad \alpha(Y) < 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}^{+} \ .$$

Let $\Pi \subset \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}^{+}$ be the set of simple roots, and let

$$I = \{\alpha \in \Pi \,|\, \alpha(Y) = 0\} \ .$$

The set I determines a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}_I$ as follows: set

$$\mathfrak{a}_I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \ker\alpha \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}} \ .$$

So for $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\alpha(\mathfrak{a}_I) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(Y) = 0$, and $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_I$. Let

$$\mathfrak{u}_I = \sum_{\substack{\alpha(Y) \neq 0 \\ \alpha > 0}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \ .$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_I := \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{a}_I) + \mathfrak{u}_I \ .$$

Furthermore, the eigenspaces $\mathfrak{g}(j)$ are precisely the weight spaces of the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{a}_I$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. If one decomposes each eigenspace $\mathfrak{g}(j)$ into a sum of root spaces, then for $j \neq 0$ the corresponding roots all have multiplicity 1. It is also easy to see that the eigenspaces of Y on H are the weight spaces for the action of $\mathfrak{a}_I$ on H. The eigenspaces of Y give a splitting of the weight filtration W of N on H ([Sch73], 6.4). We recall that the (complex) weighted Dynkin diagram of the complex conjugacy class of N is defined as follows ([CM93], §3.5): each root in the complex Coxeter-Dynkin diagram is assigned the weight $-\alpha(Y)$. This conjugacy class includes $\varphi(\mathbf{n}_+)$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_\pm)$.

Conversely, given a rational parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ there exists a representation φ such that $\mathfrak{q}$ is the canonical subalgebra for this representation. In fact in general there will be many such representations.

We also need to compare the Cayley transform of an $SL(2)$-orbit with the Cayley transform in §4. Suppose that φ is a horizontal $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-action, with

$$X_+ = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_+) \ , \quad X_- = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_-) \ .$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha Y_\alpha \\ &= i\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha X_{-\alpha} - i\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha X_\alpha \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $X_+ \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ and $X_- \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$ it follows that

$$X_+ = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha X_\alpha \ , \quad X_- = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha X_{-\alpha} \ ,$$

and in fact, if $a_\alpha \neq 0$ we must have that $\deg\alpha = -1$. Furthermore,

$$2X_+ = \big[[X_+, X_-], X_+\big] = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha^3 \big[[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}], X_\alpha\big] = 2\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} a_\alpha^3 X_\alpha \ .$$

Therefore $a_\alpha^3 = a_\alpha$, and $a_\alpha = 0, \pm 1$. Now if $a_\alpha = -1$, then we can replace α by $-\alpha$, so that

$$Y = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha Y_\alpha \ , \quad a_\alpha = 0, 1 \ .$$

Let

$$\Sigma_\varphi = \{\alpha \,|\, a_\alpha \neq 0\} \ .$$

Since

$$\alpha(Y) = a_\alpha\, \alpha(Y_\alpha) = 2a_\alpha$$

by (5), this implies that $-\Sigma_\varphi \subset \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}^+$.

The horizontal SL(2)-action ψ with derivative $\psi_* = \varphi$ is given by

$$\psi = \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma_\varphi} \psi_\alpha$$

Therefore the Cayley element of φ is

$$\psi(\mathbf{c}) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma_\varphi} C_\alpha \ ,$$

and furthermore,

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,\psi(\mathbf{c})\mathrm{Y} = \mathrm{CY} \ , \quad \mathrm{Ad}\,\psi(\mathbf{c})\mathrm{N} = \mathrm{CN} \ . \tag{10}$$

We note that

$$C^{-1}x_0 = \tilde{\psi}(\infty) \ , \quad J\tilde{\psi}(\infty) = \tilde{\psi}(0) \ ,$$

where

$$J = \psi(\mathbf{j}) \ , \quad \mathbf{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

This shows directly that $X_+ \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ if and only if N is a morphism of $(W, \tilde{\psi}(0))$ of degree $(-1,-1)$.

6. The Reductive Borel-Serre Compactification

In this section we review the reductive Borel-Serre compactification of $\Gamma\backslash D$ as explained in [BJ01] and [BJ02]. It comes from the reductive Borel-Serre partial compactification of G. Let $P \subset G$ be a rational parabolic subgroup with unipotent radical U_P. Let $L_P = U_P\backslash P$ be the Levi quotient. In L_P let S_P be the split centre over $\mathbb{Q}$, and A_P the identity component over $\mathbb{R}$ of S_P. Lastly, if we set

$$M_P = \cap_{\chi\in X(L_P)} \ker\chi^2 \ ,$$

where $X(L_P)$ is the group of rational characters of L_P, then we have the decomposition

$$L_P = A_P M_P$$

over $\mathbb{R}$. Choose a maximal compact subgroup $K \supset V$ as in [Sch73], §8. Associated K is a Cartan involution of G. There is a unique lifting of L_P to a Levi subgroup $L_{P,x_0} \subset G$, defined over $\mathbb{R}$, invariant under the involution. Denote by A_{P,x_0}, respectively M_{P,x_0} the liftings of A_P, respectively M_P. Then we have the Langlands decomposition of P:

$$P = U_P A_{P,x_0} M_{P,x_0} \ .$$

Since $G = PK$, this gives the horospherical decomposition of G:

$$G = U_P \times A_{P,x_0} \times M_{P,x_0} K \ ,$$

and of D:

$$D = U_P \times A_{P,x_0} \times D_P \ ,$$

where

$$D_P = M_{P,x_0} K/V \cong M_{P,x_0}/V \cap M_{P,x_0} \ .$$

From here on we omit the reference to the basepoint x_0 and just write A_P and M_P for the liftings.

Suppose that A is the identity component of a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus in G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$. Let Π be a system of simple roots with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. For any subset $I \subset \Pi$, let A_I be the subtorus of A with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_I$, and U_I and P_I the unipotent, respectively parabolic, subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_I$, respectively $\mathfrak{p}_I$.

For any parabolic P, A_P acts on U_P by conjugation. Denote by $\Delta(P, A_P)$ the set of roots on the induced action of $\mathfrak{a}_P$ on $\mathfrak{u}_P$, and by $\Pi(P, A_P)$ the simple roots. Here $\mathfrak{a}_p$ and $\mathfrak{u}_p$ are the Lie algebras of A_P and U_P respectively. So if $P = P_I$, then $\Pi(P, A_P)$ is just the set of restrictions of $\Pi \setminus I$ to A_I. For any $t > 0$, let

$$A_{P,t} = \{a \in A_P \,|\, \alpha(\log a) > t \ , \ \alpha \in \Pi(P, A_P)\} \ .$$

Then for any bounded sets $U \subset U_P$, $W \subset M_P K$,

$$U \times A_{P,t} \times W \subset G$$

is a Siegel set in G associated with P.

For each rational parabolic we have the boundary face

$$\hat{e}(P) = M_P K \cong U_P A_P \backslash G$$

of G and

$$D_P = M_P K/V \cong U_P A_P \backslash G/V \ .$$

of D. To construct the space $\bar{G}^{RBS}$ we attach the faces $\hat{e}(P)$ to G in the following way. An unbounded sequence $x_j = (u_j, a_j, m_j)$, $u_j \in U_P$, $a_j \in A_P$, $m_j \in \hat{e}(P)$ converges to a point $m_\infty \in \hat{e}(P)$ if:

i) for any $\alpha \in \Pi(P, A_P)$, $\alpha(\log a_j) \to \infty$,

ii) $m_j \to m_\infty$.

as $j \to \infty$.

For a pair of parabolics $Q \subset P$, let $Q' \subset M_P$ be the parabolic subgroup determined by Q. Then

$$M_{Q'} = M_Q \ , \quad A_Q = A_P A_{Q'} \ , \quad U_Q = U_P U_{Q'} \ .$$

So we have the decomposition

$$\hat{e}(P) = U_{Q'} \times A_{Q'} \times \hat{e}(Q) \ .$$

And $\hat{e}(Q)$ is attached at infinity to $\hat{e}(P)$ as above. Thus a topology is defined on the space

$$\bar{G}^{RBS} = G \cup \coprod_{P \subset G} \hat{e}(P) \ .$$

For any point $m_\infty \in \hat{e}(P)$, a neighbourhood base can be given as follows. Let W be a neighbourhood of m_∞ in $\hat{e}(P)$. The rational parabolics containing P correspond to the subsets $J \subset \Pi(P, A_P)$.

$$\coprod_J U_{P'_J} \times A_{P'_J,t} \times W$$

is a neighbourhood of m_∞ in $\bar{G}^{RBS}$. The right V - action on G extends to a continuous action on $\bar{G}^{RBS}$. Define

$$\bar{D}^{RBS} = \bar{G}^{RBS}/V \ .$$

The rational boundary components of $\bar{D}^{RBS}$ are $D_P = \hat{e}(P)/V$. The action of Γ on G, respectively D, extends continuously to $\bar{G}^{RBS}$, respectively $\bar{D}^{RBS}$. It acts properly and the quotient

$$\Gamma \backslash \bar{D}^{RBS} \cong \left(\Gamma \backslash \bar{G}^{RBS}\right)/V$$

is compact and Hausdorff.

7. Behavior at Infinity

Let $T \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the unit disc about 0, $T^* = T \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that

$$\Phi : T^* \to \Gamma \backslash D$$

is holomorphic and locally liftable, with horizontal local liftings. In this section we prove that Φ extends continuously to a map of T into $\Gamma \backslash \bar{D}^{RBS}$.

Let $\tilde{T}^*$ denote the upper half plane. If

$$\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{T}^* \to D$$

is a lifting of Φ, then we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z+1) = \gamma \tilde{\Phi}(z)$$

for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let l be the order of the semi-simple part of γ, and let N_0 be the logarithm of the unipotent part, so that

$$\gamma^l = \exp(lN_0) \ .$$

Set

$$\tilde{\Psi}(z) = \exp(-lzN_0)\tilde{\Phi}(lz) \ , \quad z \in \tilde{T}^* \ .$$

Thus $\tilde{\Psi}$ is invariant under the translation $z \to z+1$, and descends to a holomorphic map $\Psi : T^* \to D$. According to the nilpotent orbit theorem ([Sch73], 4.9), Ψ extends holomorphically to T. If we let

$$x_\infty = \Psi(0) \in \check{D} \ ,$$

with corresponding filtration F_∞, then

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) \sim \exp(zN_0)x_\infty \ ,$$

as $\mathrm{im\,z} \to \infty$. In fact, Schmid shows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is asymptotic as $z \to \infty$ to an SL(2 -orbit. More precisely, there exists a representation

$$\psi : SL(2) \to G$$

such that

i) $\psi(SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset G(\mathbb{R})$,

ii) $\psi_* : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a morphism of Hodge structures of degree $(0,0)$.

If we let $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ be the subalgebra spanned by $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{x}_-$, then $\psi_*\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. The corresponding subgroup $L \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is the isotropy subgroup of i. So the representation ψ determines an equivariant horizontal holomorphic embedding

$$\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \check{D} \ ,$$

with

$$\tilde{\psi}(g \cdot i) = \psi(g) \cdot x_0 \ .$$

This mapping is "asymptotic to $\tilde{\Phi}$ at ∞". For a precise statement, see [Sch73], 5.17, or [CKS86], 3.25. We shall in fact only use the properties in [Sch73], 5.26. For these results to apply we choose the basepoint x_0 so that ψ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [Sch73] 5.19). Then

$$\psi_*(\mathbf{n}_-) = N_0 \ .$$

We also choose a $\mathbb{Q}$ - Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$Y = \psi_*(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}} \ .$$

As in §5, order the roots $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$, with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, so that

$$\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} \ , \quad \alpha(Y) < 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}^+ \ ,$$

let Π be the set of simple roots, and set

$$I = \{\, \alpha \in \Pi \,|\, \alpha(Y) = 0 \,\} \ .$$

Thus P_I is the canonical parabolic subgroup associated to ψ.

Now let

$$\mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \ ,$$

and let U be the corresponding unipotent subgroup. If we let A be the connected abelian subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then

$$Q = Z(A)U$$

is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G. We can write

$$Z(A) = AM \ ,$$

where M is anisotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$. According to [Sch73], 5.26, there exist functions

$$u(z) \in U \ , \quad a(z) \in A \quad m(z) \in M \ , \quad k(z) \in K \ ,$$

defined and real analytic for $\mathrm{im}\, z >> 0$, such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = u(z)a(z)m(z)k(z)x_0 \ ,$$

and as $\mathrm{im}\, z \to \infty$ the limits of $u(z)$, $\exp\big((1/2)\log(\mathrm{im}\, z)Y\big)a(z)$, $m(z)$ and $k(z)$ exist and are uniform in $\mathrm{re}\, z$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\mathrm{im}\, z \to \infty} \exp\big(\frac{1}{2}\log(\mathrm{im}\, z)Y\big)a(z) = 1 \ ,$$

$$\lim_{\mathrm{im}\, z \to \infty} m(z) = 1 \ ,$$

$$\lim_{\mathrm{im}\, z \to \infty} k(z) = 1 \ .$$

Lastly,

$$\lim_{\mathrm{im}\, z \to \infty} u(z) \in U \ .$$

It follows that as $\operatorname{im} z \to \infty$

$$\alpha(\log a(z)) \to \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi \setminus I \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in I \end{cases}$$

uniformly in $\operatorname{re} z$ (cf.[Sch73], p.245). Write $A = A_I A'$, where

$$A' = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi \setminus I} \ker(\alpha \circ \log) \subset A \ .$$

and then write

$$a(z) = a_I(z) a'(z) \ , \quad a_I(z) \in A_I \ , \ a'(z) \in A' \ .$$

It follows that

$$\lim a'(z) = 1 \ .$$

The centralizer of Y in $\mathfrak{u}$ is

$$\mathfrak{u}' = \sum_{\substack{\alpha(Y)=0 \\ \alpha>0}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \ ,$$

and $\mathfrak{u}$ decomposes into the semi-direct product:

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_I \oplus \mathfrak{u}' \ .$$

If we denote by U' the corresponding subgroup of U, then we can write

$$u(z) = u_I(x) u'(z) \ , \quad u_I(z) \in U_I \ , \ u'(z) \in U' \ ,$$

and $\lim u_I(z)$ and $\lim u'(z)$ exist (cf. [Sch73], p. 317). Thus

$$\lim m_I(z) := \lim u'(z) a'(z) m(z)$$

exists. So

$$M_I = U' A' M \ ,$$

and

$$P_I = U_I A_I M_I$$

is the Langlands decomposition of P_I (with respect to x_0). Therefore by [BJ01], §4, in $\bar{G}^{RBS}$,

$$\lim_{\operatorname{im} z \to \infty} u(z) a(z) m(z) k(z) = \lim_{\operatorname{im} z \to \infty} u_I(z) a_I(z) m_I(z) k(z)$$

exists. Thus we have

Theorem 3. *In $\bar{D}^{RBS}$, $\lim_{\operatorname{im} z \to \infty} \tilde{\Phi}(z)$ exists and lies in the boundary component D_{P_I}.*

The domains D are not homogenous, in the sense that there are special directions. So one can ask whether for a boundary component D_P there does exist a holomorphic, horizonal map $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\lim_{\operatorname{im} z \to \infty} \tilde{\Phi}(z)$ lies in the boundary component D_P.

Theorem 4. *Let P be a rational parabolic subgroup. Let ψ be a representation of $\mathbf{SL(2)}$ in $\mathbf{G}$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, such that P is the canonical parabolic for ψ. Suppose that $N = \psi_*(\mathbf{n}_-)$ can be written as*

$$N = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}} a_\alpha N_{-\alpha} \ ,$$

with $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then ψ determines an $SL(2)$-orbit $\tilde{\psi}$ with $\tilde{\psi}(0) \in D_P$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}$ for all α with $a_\alpha \neq 0$. In particular, suppose that in the weighted Dynkin diagram of N, the roots with weight 2 lie in $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is an $SL(2)$-orbit with $\tilde{\psi}(0) \in D_P$.

Proof. The derivative ψ_* is horizontal at x_0 if and only if $X_+ = \psi_*(\mathbf{x}_+) \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$. So if

$$X_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}} a_\alpha X_\alpha \ ,$$

then $X_+ \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$ for all α with $a_\alpha \neq 0$. But by (10)

$$N_+ = \mathrm{Ad}\,(\mathrm{C}^{-1})\mathrm{X}_+ = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathrm{a}_\beta \mathrm{Ad}\,(\mathrm{C}^{-1})\mathrm{X}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathrm{a}_\alpha \mathrm{N}_\alpha \ ,$$

where $a_\alpha := a_\beta$ for $\beta = \mathrm{res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}\alpha$, and

$$N = \mathrm{Ad}\,(\mathrm{J})\mathrm{N}_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathrm{a}_\alpha \mathrm{N}_{-\alpha} \ .$$

□

8. The Structure of a Boundary Component

In this section we give a description of a boundary component, which is inspired by the calculations in §2 of [Her99]. First choose a basepoint x_0 so that in the real Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of theorem 2, there is a rational Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. With respect to x_0 there is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see equation (4)).

Let ψ be an $SL(2)$-orbit, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, with

$$N_0 = \psi_*(\mathbf{n}_-) \ , \quad Y = \psi_*(\mathbf{y}) \ ,$$

and $N_0^{k+1} = 0$. We can assume that $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let W be the weight filtration of N_0 in $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$S(W_l, W_{l'}) = 0 \ , \quad \text{if } l + l' < 2k \ .$$

For any $g \in Z(Y)$, $N = gN_0g^{-1}$ also has the weight filtration W. For such a nilpotent N, one can define a non-degenerate $(-1)^{k+l}$- symmetric bilinear form S_l on $Gr^W_{k+l}H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by

$$S_l(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) = S(v, N^l w) \ ,$$

where $v, w \in W_{k+l}$ represent $\tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \in Gr^W_{k+l}H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Define the primitive subspace $P_{k+l}(N) \subset Gr^W_{k+l}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by

$$P_{k+l}(N) = \ker\left(N^{l+1} : Gr^W_{k+l}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to Gr^W_{k-l-2}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})\right) \ ,$$

for $l \geqslant 0$.

We order the roots $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as in section 5 and again set

$$I = \{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha(Y) = 0\} \ .$$

So $Q = Q_I$ is the canonical parabolic determined by N_0. Since $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathfrak{a}_I$ is stable under the Cartan involution belonging to x_0. Therefore $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{a}_I)$ is the stable Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{q}$. Hence if

$$Q = U_Q A_Q M_Q$$

is the Langlands decomposition with respect to x_0, then $\mathfrak{a}_I$ is the Lie algebra of A_Q. It follows that the eigenspaces of $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_I$ in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ are just the weight spaces of $\mathfrak{a}_I$ or A_Q. These give a splitting of W defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

This splitting, or ψ itself, determines a subspace $B_{k+l} \subset H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is a lifting of P_{k+l}, $l \geqslant 0$, together with a lifting of S_l (cf. [Her99], §2). It is easy to see that

$$Z(N)_{|B_{k+l}} \cong O(S_l) \ ,$$

where $O(S_l)$ is the group of symmetries of S_l on $P_{k+l}(N)$. Furthermore, Y normalizes $\mathfrak{z}(N)$ and the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Ad} \mathrm{Y}$ on $\mathfrak{z}(N)$ are $\leqslant 0$. In fact $Z(N) \subset Q$ (see [SS70], III, 4.10) and the Langlands decomposition of Q gives an analogous decomposition of $Z(N)$, with

$$Z(N) \cap M_Q = \prod_{l \geqslant 0} O(S_l) \ .$$

If $N = N_0$, then $F_\infty = \tilde{\psi}(0)$ induces a Hodge structure on B_{k+l} which is polarized by S_l. On $P_{k+l}(N_0)$ the induced filtration is the same as that from $F_0 = \tilde{\psi}(i)$. Set

$$f_l^p = \dim F_\infty^p P_{k+l}(N_0) \ .$$

For any N, let $D_l(N)$ denote the space of all Hodge filtrations on $P_{k+l}(N)$ polarized by S_l with

$$\dim F^p P_{k+l}(N) = f_l^p \ ,$$

for all p. Set

$$D_{prim}(N) = \prod_{l \geqslant 0} D_l(N) \ .$$

THEOREM 5. *The boundary component D_Q is a fibre bundle:*

$$D_Q \to Z(N_0) \cap M_Q \backslash M_Q / M_Q \cap V$$

with fibres $D_{prim}(N)$, where $N = g^{-1} N_0 g$, $g \in M_Q$ (cf. [CK77], §3).

Proof. Let $g_\infty = \psi\big(\exp(-i\mathbf{n}_-)\big)$. Then $g_\infty \cdot x_0 = x_\infty$, g_∞ preserves W and g_∞ acts trivially on $Gr^W H$ (cf. [Sch73], 6.20). The filtration F_∞ on B_{k+l} is stabilized by $g_\infty V g_\infty^{-1}$. It follows that

$$D_l(N_0) \cong O(S_l)/O(S_l) \cap V \ .$$

Therefore

$$D_{prim}(N_0) \cong Z(N_0) \cap M_Q / Z(N_0) \cap V \subset M_Q / M_Q \cap V \cong D_Q \ .$$

Now if $N = g^{-1} N_0 g$, with $g \in M_Q \subset Z(Y)$, then

$$g P_{k+l}(N) = P_{k+l}(N_0) \ .$$

So we have a diffeomorphism

$$g_* : D_{prim}(N) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{prim}(N_0) \ .$$

Then

$$D_{prim}(N) \xrightarrow{g_*} D_{prim}(N_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} Z(N_0) \cap M_Q / Z(N_0) \cap V \xrightarrow{\cong} \big(Z(N_0) \cap M_Q / Z(N_0)\big) g^{-1}$$

identifies $D_{prim}(N)$ with a fibre. □

REFERENCES

B66 A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Proc.Symp. Pure Math. IX, (1966) 3 –19.

BJ01 A. Borel and L. Ji, *Compactifications of locally symmetric spaces*, preprint (2001)

BJ02 A. Borel and L. Ji, *Compactifications of symmetric and locally symmetric spaces*, Math. Res. Lett.**9** (2002) 725–739.

CK77 E.H. Cattani and A.G. Kaplan, *Extensions of period mappings for Hodge structures of weight two*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977) 1–43.

CKS86 E. Cattani, A. Kaplan, W. Schmid, *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986) 457–535.

CM93 D.H. Collingwood, W.M. McGovern, *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.

Grf70 P. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds: Summary of main results and discussion of open problems. Bull. A.M.S. **76**, (1970) 228–296.

Her99 C. Hertling, Classifying spaces for polarized mixed Hodge structures and for Brieskorn lattices. Compositio Math. 116, (1999) 1–37.

Hum95 J.E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups* (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **43**), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1995.

Sch73 W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structures: the singularities of the period mapping*, Inv. math. **22** (1973) 211–319.

SS70 T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes* in *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **131** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1970) 167–266.

U95 S. Usui, *Complex structures on partial compactifications of arithmetic quotients of classifying spaces of Hodge structures*, Tôhuku Math. J. **47** (1995) 405–429.

KU00 K. Kato and S. Usui, *Logarithmic Hodge structures and classifying spaces* in *The Arithmetic and geometry of Algebraic Cycles*, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **24** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000) 115–130.

KU02 K. Kato and S. Usui, *Borel-Serre spaces and spaces of SL(2)-orbits* in *Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **36**, 321–382 (2002).

John Scherk scherk@math.toronto.edu
Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, 40 St. George Street , Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2E4, CANADA